

RESIDUE IN INTERSECTION HOMOLOGY FOR QUASIHOMOGENEOUS SINGULARITIES

ANDRZEJ WEBER

Institute of Mathematics, University of Warsaw

October 1996

1. RESIDUES IN HOMOLOGY AND THE PROBLEM OF LIFT

Let M^{n+1} be a complex manifold and let K be a hypersurface in M . Suppose K has isolated singularities. We think of K as obtained from a manifold-with-boundary K° by shrinking the components of the boundary. For simplicity assume that $n > 1$. Then the intersection homology of K is isomorphic to [7], [4]:

$$\begin{aligned} IH_n^m(K) &= im([K] \cap : H^n(K) \rightarrow H_n(K)) = \\ &= im([K^\circ] \cap : H^n(K^\circ, \partial K^\circ) \rightarrow H_n(K^\circ, \partial K^\circ)) = \\ &= im(H^n(K^\circ, \partial K^\circ) \rightarrow H^n(K^\circ)) = \\ &= ker(H^n(K^\circ) \rightarrow H^n(\partial K^\circ)). \end{aligned}$$

In [13] we define a residue of a closed form $\omega \in \Omega^{n+1}(M \setminus K)$ as the class of the Leray [9] residue form $res \omega = [Res \omega] \in H^n(K \setminus \Sigma) \simeq H_n(K)$. All coefficients of homology and cohomology are in $\mathbb{C}$. The following question arises: can one integrate the residue form over cycles intersecting singularity. The question comes from partial differential equations; [14]. It turns out, that certain integrals have a meaning, but it is not clear in which spaces cycles and integrals should be considered. The possibility to give a meaning to the symbol $\int_\xi Res \omega$ which would not depend on the homology class of ξ is simply a lift of $[Res \omega]$ to cohomology:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^n(K \setminus \Sigma) & \simeq & H_n(K) & \xleftarrow{PD} & H^n(K) \\ [Res \omega] & = & res \omega & \dashrightarrow & ? \end{array}$$

The homological residue $res \omega$ can be defined equivalently by the Alexander duality:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^{p+1}(M \setminus K) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^{p+2}(M, M \setminus K) & \xrightarrow{[M] \cap} & H_{2n-p}(K) \\ \omega & \longmapsto & \delta \omega & \longmapsto & res \omega \end{array}.$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 32S20, 55N33; Secondary 14C30, 32S40.

Key words and phrases. Leray residue form, isolated quasihomogeneous singularity, intersection homology.

Partially supported by KBN 2P30101007

The previous case was $p = n$. Now p is arbitrary and K is a variety with not necessary isolated singularities. The question is the same: does the homological residue $res\omega$ belong to the image of Poincaré duality map. The Poincaré duality map factors through the intersection homology:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{2n-p}(K) & \xrightarrow{PD} & H_p(K) \\ \searrow & & \nearrow \\ & IH_p^m(X) & \end{array}$$

The question of lift to intersection homology also seems to be reasonable. In many cases algebraically defined elements in $H_*(K)$ lift to $IH_*^m(K)$, e.g. Chern-MacPherson classes or arbitrary algebraic cycles; [3].

Consider a case when M is a projective manifold and $K = \bigcup_{i \in I} D_i$ is a sum of smooth divisors with normal crossings. Then

$$IH_p^m(K) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} H^{2n-p}(D_i).$$

One can easily show that:

Proposition 1. *Homological residue of a class $c \in H^p(M \setminus K)$ can be lift to the intersection homology if and only if c belongs to $W_{p+1}H^p(M \setminus K)$ – the $(p+1)$ -st term of the Deligne weight filtration.*

Belonging to $W_{p+1}H^p(M \setminus K)$ means that c is represented by a smooth form

$$\omega \in \Omega^{p-1}(M) \wedge \Omega^1(\log < K >),$$

see e.g. [8 §5]. The above proposition remains true for an arbitrary singular projective variety. To prove this one can use a resolution of K , then push the residue to the intersection homology of the resolution and pull down using the result of [3]. Unfortunately this procedure uses desingularization, weight filtration and functoriality of intersection homology. Each of these ingredients is rather mysterious and hard to compute. We will not follow this direction. We restrict our attention to the case of isolated quasihomogeneous singularities.

I would like to thank several people who have influenced me during the work on residues. First of all I would like to thank Professors B. Ziemian and H. Żoładek, P.Jaworski, J-P. Brasselet, G. Barthel.

2. VALUATION AND QUASIHOMOGENEOUS FUNCTIONS

Let $\mathbb{C}(z_0, \dots, z_n)$ be the field of the rational functions on $n+1$ variables and let

$$v : \mathbb{C}(z_0, \dots, z_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

be a valuation satisfying

- 1) $v(z_i) = a_i > 0$;
- 2) $v(fg) = v(f) + v(g)$;
- 3) if $f = \sum f_i$, f_i monomial, then $v(f) = \max\{v(f_i)\}$

If f is a sum of monomials of the same weight, then we say that f is quasihomogeneous (with respect to the valuation v). We define the valuation on forms

$$v : \mathbb{C}(z_0, \dots, z_n) \otimes \Lambda^* ((\mathbb{C}^{n+1})^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

putting $v(dz_i) = v(z_i) = a_i$.

Suppose that in each singular point the hypersurface K is given by an equation $s = 0$ with s quasihomogeneous (in some coordinates and valuation). We assume that $v(s) = 1$. We define a number

$$\kappa = v(dz_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i.$$

It coincides (after the change of the sign) with 'the complex oscillation indicator' defined in [1; 13.1 p.258] and it is an analytic invariant of a singularity type. We define a condition:

Condition 2. *For any choice of $k_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $i = 0, \dots, n$ we have $\kappa + \sum k_i a_i \neq 1$.*

Of course the Condition 2 is satisfied if $\kappa > 1$.

Example 3. Let $s = z_0^3 + z_1^3 + z_2^4$. Then $a_0 = a_1 = \frac{1}{3}$ and $a_2 = \frac{1}{4}$, $\kappa = \frac{11}{12}$. The Condition 2 is satisfied.

3. A SIMPLE CRITERION TO LIFT

We investigate residues of meromorphic forms of the type $(n+1, 0)$ with a first order pole on K , i.e. the forms which can be locally written as $\omega = \frac{g}{s} dz_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n$ with g holomorphic.

Theorem 4. *Suppose that K of dimension n has isolated singularities given by quasihomogeneous equations. Let $\omega \in \Omega^{n+1,0}(M \setminus K)$ be a meromorphic form with a first order pole on K . If the Condition 2 is fulfilled in each singular point then the residue class of ω lifts to intersection homology of K .*

The Theorem 4 is true in a greater generality; we assume that K has isolated singularities and the Condition 2 should be substituted by the following:

Condition 5. *The number 0 does not belong to the spectrum of the singularity.*

The concept of the spectrum as defined in [1; §13.3 p. 270] comes from the theory of oscillating integrals. It follows from the definition that $\int_X \text{Res } \omega = 0$ for any cycle contained in the link of a singular point; see [13; 1.3]. In this case residue lifts to the cohomology. The point is that the spectrum is computable from the Newton diagram; [1; §13.3 p. 274]. For quasihomogeneous singularities we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\text{spectrum of the singularity}\} \cap (-\infty, 0] = \\ & = \{v(\frac{g}{s} dz_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n)\} \cap (-\infty, 0] = \\ & = \{\kappa + \sum k_i a_i - 1 : k_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, i = 0, \dots, n\} \cap (-\infty, 0] \end{aligned}$$

The spectrum can also be defined in terms of eigenvalues of the monodromy acting on the vanishing cycles filtered by weights; [11].

Now we prove the Theorem 4 using few well known facts from the intersection homology theory.

Proof. One should show that $[\text{Res } \omega] \in \ker(H^n(K^\circ) \longrightarrow H^n(\partial K^\circ))$ that is for each link L in K $[\text{Res } \omega|_L] = 0 \in H^n(L)$. We take a neighbourhood of a singular point

in which K is given by a quasihomogeneous equation $s = 0$. We give a formula for $Res \omega$ in the points where $s_0 = \frac{\partial s}{\partial z_0} \neq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} ds &= \sum_{i=0}^n s_i dz_i, \\ dz_0 &= \frac{1}{s_0} ds - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{s_i}{s_0} dz_i \\ \omega &= \frac{g}{s} \frac{ds}{s_0} \wedge dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n = \\ &= \frac{ds}{s} \wedge \frac{g}{s_0} dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n. \end{aligned}$$

Let $r = \frac{g}{s_0} dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n$. Then $Res \omega = r|_K$ in the points where $s_0 \neq 0$. Now suppose that g is quasihomogeneous (or we decompose g into a quasihomogeneous components). Let $v(g) = \alpha$. We have

$$v(\omega) = v(ds) - v(s) + v(r) = v(r).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} v(r) &= v(g) - v(s) + v(dz_0) + \cdots + v(dz_n) = \\ &= \alpha - 1 + a_0 + \cdots + a_n = \alpha - 1 + \kappa. \end{aligned}$$

Let l be a natural number such that $l a_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$. We construct a branched covering of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \\ \hat{z}_0, \dots, \hat{z}_n &\longmapsto \hat{z}_0^{l a_0}, \dots, \hat{z}_n^{l a_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\hat{v}$ be a standard valuation: $\hat{v}(\hat{z}_i) = 1$. The map Φ has the property:

$$\hat{v}(\Phi^* \eta) = l v(\eta)$$

for any $\eta \in \mathbb{C}(\hat{z}_0, \dots, \hat{z}_n) \otimes \Lambda^* ((\mathbb{C}^{n+1})^*)$. We have

$$v(\Phi^* r) = l(\alpha - 1 + \kappa).$$

If we write $\Phi^* r = q d\hat{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\hat{z}_n$ then q is homogeneous function of weight

$$v(q) = l(\alpha - 1 + \kappa) - n.$$

The mapping Φ induces the branched covering of the links:

$$S^{2n+1} \cap \Phi^{-1}(K) = \widehat{L} \xrightarrow{\bar{\Phi}} L = K \cap \{z_0, \dots, z_n : |z_0|^{2a_0} + \cdots + |z_n|^{2a_n} = 1\}$$

The degree of this map is $l \kappa$. Unfortunately $\widehat{L}$ may be singular; see Example 6. To show that $[Res \omega|_L] = 0$ we will prove that $[\bar{\Phi}^* Res \omega|_L] = 0 \in IH_{n-1}^m(\widehat{L})$. It is enough since the map

$$H^n(L) \xrightarrow{\bar{\Phi}^*} H^n(\widehat{L}) \rightarrow IH_{n-1}^m(\widehat{L})$$

is a monomorphism with a splitting

$$IH_{n-1}^m(\widehat{L}) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(\widehat{L}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\Phi}_*} H_{n-1}(L) \rightarrow H^n(L).$$

The last map is the inverse to the Poincaré duality isomorphism multiplied by $(l\kappa)^{-1}$, the maps to and from intersection homology are the canonical ones. To show vanishing in intersection homology we use a Gysin sequence of the fibration

$$S^1 \hookrightarrow \widehat{L} \xrightarrow{p} \widehat{L}/S^1$$

coming from the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $\Phi^{-1}(K)$:

$$\rightarrow IH_n^m(\widehat{L}/S^1) \xrightarrow{\cap e} IH_{n-2}^m(\widehat{L}/S^1) \xrightarrow{p^*} IH_{n-1}^m(\widehat{L}) \xrightarrow{p_*} IH_{n-1}^m(\widehat{L}/S^1) \rightarrow .$$

The map $\cap e$ is the multiplication by the Euler class of the fibration; it is an isomorphism by hard Lefschetz since $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \widehat{L}/S^1 = n-1$; [2]. We interpret $IH_{n-1}^m(\widehat{L})$ as the L_2 -cohomology of the nonsingular part of $\widehat{L}$:

$$IH_{n-1}^m(\widehat{L}) = H_{(2)}^n(\widehat{L} \setminus \Sigma) =: H_{(2)}^n(\widehat{L})$$

for suitably chosen metrics on $\widehat{L} \setminus \Sigma$ and $(\widehat{L} \setminus \Sigma)/S^1$; see [6], [12]. Then the sequence has a form:

$$\rightarrow H_{(2)}^{n-2}(\widehat{L}/S^1) \xrightarrow{\cap e} H_{(2)}^n(\widehat{L}/S^1) \xrightarrow{p^*} H_{(2)}^n(\widehat{L}) \xrightarrow{p_*} H_{(2)}^{n-1}(\widehat{L}/S^1) \rightarrow .$$

The map p_* is just the integration along the fibers of p . Let us calculate the integral in the trivialization of the bundle $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{P}^n$ over $U_0 = \{\hat{z}_0 \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}^* \times U_0 &\longrightarrow p^{-1}(U_0), \\ u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n &\longmapsto u_0, u_0 u_1, \dots, u_0 u_n. \end{aligned}$$

We write $\Phi^* \mathbf{r}$ in u -coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^* \mathbf{r} &= q(\hat{z}_0, \dots, \hat{z}_n) d\hat{z}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\hat{z}_n = \\ &= u_0^{l(\alpha-1+\kappa)-n} \bar{q}(u_1, \dots, u_n) (u_1 du_0 + u_0 du_1) \wedge \dots \wedge (u_n du_0 + u_0 du_n) = \\ &= u_0^{l(\alpha-1+\kappa)-1} \bar{q}(u_1, \dots, u_n) du_0 \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} u_i du_1 \wedge \dots \overset{i}{\vee} \dots \wedge du_n + \\ &\hspace{15em} + u_0 du_1 \wedge \dots \wedge du_n = \\ &= u_0^{l(\alpha-1+\kappa)-1} du_0 \wedge \mathbf{r}_2 + \Theta, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{r}_2$ and Θ do not contain du_0 and $\mathbf{r}_2$ does not depend on u_0 . Thus the integral can be nonzero only if $\alpha + \kappa = 1$. It is impossible by the Condition 2 since α is a combination of a_i 's. Thus $p_* \Phi^*(Res \omega|_L) = 0$, so the residue lifts to intersection homology.

Example 6. Consider the polynomial

$$s(x, y, z) = (x + z^2)^2 + y^2 - z^4.$$

It has an isolated singularity of the type A_3 . It is quasihomogeneous with weights $v(x) = v(y) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $v(z) = \frac{1}{4}$. The polynomial $\Phi^*(s)$ is:

$$\Phi^*(s) = (x^2 + z^2)^2 + y^4 - z^4 = x^4 + 2x^2z^2 + y^4.$$

Zero is not an isolated singularity since for $z = c = \text{const}$ we obtain:

$$x^4 + 2x^2c^2 + y^4 \sim x^2 + y^4$$

which is a singularity of the type A_3 . The example of a singularity with $\widehat{L}$ nonsingular is $z_0^{k_0} + \dots + z_n^{k_n}$ for any choice of $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$.

The Example 6 shows, that in the proof of the Theorem 3 we have to use the hard Lefschetz theorem for intersection homology instead of the standard one.

Remark. Note that (in the case of isolated singularities) if the residue class lifts to intersection homology then it lifts to cohomology. Choose $p > 1$. For $\kappa > 1$ we show that $\text{Res } \omega$ is a form with the L_p -integrable norm in suitably chosen metric; [13]. If p is large then the L_p -cohomology of $K \setminus \Sigma$ is isomorphic to the cohomology K ; see [5], [12]. This way we find a particular lift to cohomology. This lift depends on coordinates, but can it be calculated in terms of integrals.

4. WEIGHTED BLOW-UP

There is another way of looking at the calculation presented in the proof of the Theorem 4. Let the group $G = \mathbb{Z}/la_0 \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}/la_n$ acts on the coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ by the multiplication by the roots of unity. Then $K = \widehat{K}/G$. We blow up $\widehat{K} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ in 0 and obtain:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \widetilde{\mathbb{C}^{n+1}} & \supset & \widehat{Y} \cup \mathbb{P}^n & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\Phi}} & Y \cup \mathbb{P}(v) & = & \widehat{Y}/G \cup \mathbb{P}^n/G \subset \widetilde{\mathbb{C}^{n+1}}/G \\ \widehat{pr} \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & pr \downarrow \\ \mathbb{C}^{n+1} & \supset & \widehat{K} & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & K & = & \widehat{K}/G \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}/G = \mathbb{C}^{n+1}. \end{array}$$

Here $\mathbb{P}(v) = \mathbb{P}^n/G$ is weighted projective space. We have $\widehat{Y} \cap \mathbb{P}^n = \widehat{L}/S^1$ and $Y \cap \mathbb{P}(v) = L/S^1$. The spaces $\mathbb{P}(v)$, $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}^{n+1}}/G$, Y and L/S^1 are homology manifolds; locally they are quotients of smooth manifolds by a finite group i.e. they are V-manifolds as defined by Steenbrink; [10]. From the homology point of view they can be treated as ordinary (smooth) Kähler manifolds.

5. NONVANISHING OF THE SECOND RESIDUE

The last lines of the proof of the Theorem 4 lead to a definition of an element

$$\text{res}_2 \omega = \left[\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_p \text{Res } \omega|_L \right] \in IH_{n-1}^m(\widehat{L}/S^1).$$

This is an obstruction to lift the residue class to cohomology. We call it the second residue. The class $res_2\omega$ is G -invariant, so it is in

$$IH_{n-1}^m(\widehat{L}/S^1)^G = IH_{n-1}^m(L/S^1) = H^{n-1}(L/S^1).$$

The form r_2 represents $res_2\omega$. Since L/S^1 is V-manifold then its cohomology admits Hodge decomposition [10] and $res_2\omega$ is of $(n-1, 0)$ type. The form r_2 is harmonic outside the singularities of L/S^1 , so to show that it does not vanish in cohomology it suffices to check that it is not tautologically zero. Suppose that g is quasihomogeneous of the weight

$$v(g) = \alpha = 1 - \kappa,$$

hence $v(\omega) = 0$. We will show that

$$res_2\omega = res_2\left(\frac{g}{s}dz_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n\right) \neq 0 \in H^{n-1}(L/S^1).$$

We blow-up $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \supset \widehat{K}$ in 0. We calculate the form $\Phi^*\omega$ pulled up to $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}^{n+1}}$ in the canonical coordinates (in the 0-th chart).

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{p}r^*\Phi^*\omega &= C \frac{\Phi^*g}{\Phi^*s} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{i=n} \widehat{z}_i^{la_i-1} \right) d\widehat{z}_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\widehat{z}_n = \\ &= C \frac{u_0^{l\alpha} \widetilde{\Phi^*g}}{u_0^l \widetilde{\Phi^*s}} u_0^{l\kappa-n-1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{i=n} u_i^{la_i-1} \right) u_0^n du_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge du_n = \\ &= C \frac{du_0}{u_0} \wedge \frac{\widetilde{\Phi^*g}}{\widetilde{\Phi^*s}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{i=n} u_i^{la_i-1} \right) du_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge du_n. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\widetilde{p}(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ denotes $p(1, u_1, \dots, u_n)$. We see that the form $\widehat{p}r^*\Phi^*\omega$ has the logarithmic pole on the exceptional divisor. The form $r_{2|\widehat{Y} \cup \mathbb{P}^n}$ is the second Leray residue; [8], [9]. We can decompose the form $\widehat{p}r^*\Phi^*\omega$ in a way

$$\widehat{p}r^*\Phi^*\omega = \frac{du_0}{u_0} \wedge \frac{d\widetilde{\Phi^*s}}{\widetilde{\Phi^*s}} \wedge r'_2,$$

where r'_2 do not contain u_0 nor du_0 . Then $r'_{2|\widehat{Y} \cup \mathbb{P}^n} = r_{2|\widehat{Y} \cup \mathbb{P}^n}$. The function $\widetilde{\Phi^*}(s)$ describes $\widehat{Y} \cup \mathbb{P}^n$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$ for $u_0 \neq 0$, so to show that $r_{2|\widehat{Y} \cup \mathbb{P}^n} \neq 0$ it suffices check that $d\widetilde{\Phi^*}(s) \wedge r'_2 \neq 0$ on $\widehat{Y} \cup \mathbb{P}^n$. By the decomposition:

$$u_0 \widetilde{\Phi^*}(s) \widehat{p}r^*\Phi^*\omega = du_0 \wedge d\widetilde{\Phi^*s} \wedge r'_2 = C du_0 \wedge \widetilde{\Phi^*g} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{i=n} u_i^{la_i-1} \right) du_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge du_n.$$

The polynomial g has the lower weight than s , thus $\widetilde{\Phi^*g}$ does not vanish on $\widehat{Y} \cup \mathbb{P}^n$. Moreover $\widehat{Y} \cup \mathbb{P}^n$ is not contained in any of hyperplane $u_i = 0$. Thus $d\widetilde{\Phi^*s} \wedge r'_2 \neq 0$ on $\widehat{Y} \cup \mathbb{P}^n$ and hence $r'_{2|\widehat{Y} \cup \mathbb{P}^n} \neq 0$. This way we proved

Theorem 7. Suppose that g has the nonzero quasihomogeneous component of the weight $\alpha = 1 - \kappa$. Then the second residue of $\omega = \frac{g}{s} dz_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n$ does not vanish in $H^{n-1}(L/S^1)$.

Example 8, [13]. Consider the singularity of the type P_8 :

$$s(z_0, z_1, z_2) = z_0^3 + z_1^3 + z_2^3$$

and $\omega = \frac{1}{s} dz_0 \wedge dz_1 \wedge dz_2$. Then $\widehat{L}/S^1 = L/S^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$. The second residue (i.e. the obstruction to lift) is:

$$res_2 \omega = \left[\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_p Res \omega \right] = \frac{1}{3} u_1 du_2 - u_2 du_1$$

in the notation used above. As one can check by hand the integral

$$\int_{L/S^1 \cup \mathbb{RP}^2} res_2 \omega \neq 0.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] V. I. Arnold, S. M. Gusein-Zade, A. N. Varchenko, *Osobennosti differentsiiruemyykh otobraazhenii II*, Science, Moscow, 1984.
- [2] A. Beilinson, J. Berenstein, P. Deligne, *Faisceaux Pervers*, Astérisque **100** (1983).
- [3] G. Barthel, J.-P. Brasselet, K.-H. Fieseler, O. Gabber, L. Kaup, *Relèvement de cycles algébriques et homomorphismes associés en homologie d'intersection*, Ann. Math **141** (1995), 147-179.
- [4] A. Borel (ed.), *Intersection cohomology*, Progress in mathematics Vol. 50, Birkhäuser, 1984.
- [5] J. P. Brasselet, M. Goreski, R. MacPherson, *Simplicial L^p -differential forms with poles*, Amer. J. Math. **113** (1991), 1019-1052.
- [6] J. Cheeger, *On the Hodge theory of Riemannian pseudomanifolds*, Proc. of Symp. in Pure Math., vol. 36, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence R. I., 1980, pp. 91-146.
- [7] M. Goresky, R. MacPherson, *Intersection homology II*, Invent. Math. (1) **72** (1983), 135-116.
- [8] P. Griffiths, W. Schmid, *Recent developments in Hodge Theory, a discussion of techniques and results*, Proceedings of the international Colloquium on Discrete Subgroups of Lie Groups (Tata Institute, Bombay 1973), Oxford Univ. Press, London, 1975, pp. 31-127.
- [9] J. Leray, *Le calcul différentiel et intégral sur une variété analytique complexe*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **87** (1959), 81-180.
- [10] J. H. M. Steenbrink, *Mixed Hodge structures on the vanishing cohomology*, Real and Complex Singularities, Nordic Summer School, Oslo, 1976 (P. Holm, ed.), Sijthoff and Nordhoff, 1977, pp. 679-686.
- [11] J. H. M. Steenbrink, *The spectrum of hypersurface singularities*, Asterisque **179-180** (1989), 163-184.
- [12] A. Weber, *An isomorphism from intersection homology to L_p -cohomology*, Forum Math. **7** (1995), 489-512.
- [13] A. Weber, *Residue in intersection homology and L_p -cohomology*, preprint (1996).
- [14] B. Ziemian, *Leray residue formula and asymptotics of solutions to constant coefficients PDEs*, Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis **3** (1994), Journal of the Juliusz Schauder Center, 257-293.